Journal of Inclusive Methodology and Technology in Learning and Teaching
ISSN 2785-5104
Anno 6 n.3 (2026)

EDIZIONI UNIVERSITARIE ROMANE
editoria scientifica dal 1952

Algorithmic self-regulation: how predictive recommendation systems are reconfiguring attention, cognitive load, and self-determination practices in the digital ecosystem.

Angelo Cappelllo

[1] Università degli Studi di Messina; angcappello@unime.it

Abstract: Information fragmentation and the overabundance of repetitive stimuli generate an increase in task switching, a process that, through an attentional shift from one activity to another, leads to a reduction in working memory, favoring a growing reliance on algorithmic signals to select all existential priorities. All this produces new forms of cognitive governance in which the capacity for self-determination is mediated by systems that anticipate preferences, guide behaviors, and highlight everything relevant. The evolution of predictive recommendation systems requalifies the structures that support the alchemy for proper cognitive development, transforming daily experience from a simple aggregation of perceptions to a qualified skill in developing satisfying meanings. Computational analysis of recommendation systems is the primary focus of the study. Using algorithmic audit techniques, controlled experiential simulations, and reverse engineering, we assessed the foundations of predictive models, the dynamic personalization optimization metrics that serve as the compass guiding the selection of meaningful inferences.This paper aims to analyze algorithmic self-regulation in educational systems as an interdisciplinary phenomenon located at the intersection of cognitive science, philosophy of mind, digital pedagogy, and AI ethics. The aim is to explore how recommendation systems reconfigure attention management practices, and what conflicts may arise between autonomy and algorithmic nudging, understood as "gentle nudges" to influence human decisions through subtle suggestions, to build digital environments that sustain attention in a fragmented digital ecosystem. The discussion aims to outline a focus of inquiry capable of addressing the cognitive and political implications of integrating self-regulation processes and algorithmic

Copyright: © 2026 by the authors. Submitted for possible open-access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

predictability. Furthermore, this work aims to spark active discussion in modern society, focusing on the beneficial effects of a conscious use of such devices while not neglecting the biologically innate nature of the actors who live and grow alongside hypermedia devices. Recognizing the epistemological boundary and the nature of multidimensional ecosystems allows those who use them to regulate the ways in which they recognize factors that have a positive impact on the distorting characteristics of knowledge.

Keywords: algorithmic self-regulation, predictive recommendation, task switching, technological devices, algorithmic nudging,

1. Introduction

In recent years, the growing pervasiveness of predictive recommendation systems has revolutionized the natural identity structures inherent in the process of acquiring knowledge within fragmented environments. Machine learning systems operate as mechanisms of algorithmic self-regulation capable of anticipating preferences, behaviors, and needs, thereby shaping individuals' experiences through continuous filtering, personalization, and optimization. The underlying concept found in the relevant literature demonstrates how this field of study has contributed to reconfiguring attentional inferences and their manifestations in the world.

While the early days of the internet were characterized by information-seeking primarily oriented toward active inquiry and the attainment of gradual autonomy, the current systematization and mechanization of social life (Van Dijck, Poell & De Waal, 2018) have shifted the focus toward interaction models built upon the foundations of behavioral prediction, personalized calibration, and the algorithmic redistribution of social inferences.

Today, recommendation systems constitute forms of sociotechnical infrastructure that serve as the foundation for digital mediation processes. Powered by mechanisms such as machine learning and predictive selection, they facilitate choice by selecting, ranking, and proposing content linked to probabilistic functions of functional engagement. They

operate as invisible mechanisms that shape patterns of attention and structured recognition (Beer, 2018).

The analysis of systematic inferences—grounded in algorithmic connections—does not merely organize pre-existing information; rather, it plays a substantial role in creating and producing the conditions that shape preferences, expectations, and behaviors. At a formal level, attention occupies a paramount position within the process of algorithmic governance, becoming an essential and integral component of the processes used to analyze and extract significant value from inferential formulas.

At the same time, the development of predictive technologies—driven by recommendation data processed for substantive, functional application—has highlighted how the myriad inferential connections between diverse types of information significantly impact cognitive load, shifting it toward established and recognizable channels. Drawing on Cognitive Load Theory (Sweller, 1998; new ed. 2020) and subsequent research into relational dynamics within digital environments, experimental findings reveal how the seemingly beneficial, reductionist structure of decision-making—and the aura of uncertainty surrounding it—generated by recommendation systems can translate into new forms of cognitive dependency.

Consider the possibility of algorithmic governance as envisioned by Rouvroy and Bens (2013), wherein algorithms do not merely regulate the norms governing how subjects relate to the world but instead implement them through the preemptive modulation of modes of action. Predictive recommendations influence outcomes indirectly by intervening in the realm of behavioral probabilities, steering individuals toward paths that are statistically desirable or economically profitable. Thus, algorithmic self-regulation does not vanish; rather, it takes on a complex form shaped by the interplay between human capacity for choice and predictive mechanisms that facilitate effective decision-making.

2. Algorithmic self-regulation and the reconfiguration of cognitive dynamics in the digital ecosystem

The rise of digital platforms—acting as facilitators of the infrastructure for accessing information, social interaction, and cultural consumption, and steering individuals along a human-centric path—has

fundamentally transformed how people organize their cognitive and decision-making processes. Algorithmic recommendation systems lie at the epicenter of this revolution, playing a pivotal role; they are capable of selecting, ranking, and suggesting content through the predictive analysis of data linked to behavioral patterns, driven by the computational techniques that underpin their operation.

While in the early stages of the online world's development these tools served solely to facilitate navigation amidst an ever-growing abundance of information, in today's digital ecosystem they have evolved into instruments of constant mediation linked to informational-inferential environments. Their defining essence no longer lies in the specific role of facilitating access to content, but rather in continuously shaping the conditions under which individuals expand their perception, interpretation, and evaluation of digital reality.

The strategic importance of these operational systems stems from their inherently structured ability to operate on a resource that is increasingly unstructured yet essential to the balance of the contemporary economy: human attention. In a landscape characterized by a glut of content, competition among platforms extends beyond mere content production to the capacity to capture, retain, and monetize the time individuals devote mentally, leveraging it for effective and positive decision-making processes. What, then, is the role of recommendation algorithms in this perspective? They can be viewed as technological guides for managing our attentional processes—processes whose effectiveness hinges on how potential individual behavior is predicted and its future evolution influenced.

As highlighted by Schuster and Salazar (2024), these digital inference systems play a direct role in shaping what humans deem worthy of attention, thereby directly influencing the formation of preferences, moral judgments, and cognitive priorities.

This issue is significant precisely because it must be analyzed through the lens of self-regulation via algorithmic inferential processes. Articulating what this entails—and, consequently, the profound meaning of this terminology—is by no means simple, given a perspective that encompasses both human characteristics and their artifactual manifestations (Del Valle et al., 2023). In an educational context, the term aims to clarify—and thus explicitly define—how the progressive transfer of functions akin to autonomous regulation translates into future

behaviors regarding computational systems, deductively revealing suggestions, guidelines, and, at times, predictive patterns.

The underlying phenomenon unfolds through internal dynamics—often invisible or imperceptible, yet essential for meaningfully recognizing mechanisms of inferential coercion that are not necessarily made explicit. The influence exerted by algorithmic connections must not manifest as a process that restricts available options; rather, it takes shape by defining solutions capable of capturing potential resolutions or plausible courses of action. Within the decision-making process, this entire dynamic is driven by the perceptual salience of these options and the structure of information sequences.

As part of a new form of cognitive governance, the user continues to perceive themselves as an autonomous agent, yet remains influenced by the prior selection of information analyzed and verified by algorithms. This perspective opens the mind to crucial questions, prompting a re-examination of the autonomous nature of choices and redefining the relationship between subjective freedom and technological mediation.

It has recently become evident—from various perspectives—how recommendation mechanisms shape and give rise to forms of intangible relationships. Through structured data collection and analysis, algorithms construct probabilistic representations of social phenomena, operating on the basis of hypotheses and opportunities. The resulting recursive loop fuels the computational system, which in turn contributes to guiding inference by acting as a compass for human behavior.

Valle and Francisco (2023) argue that this relationship generates patterns that are implicitly significant for preserving personal autonomy, given that future preferences are partially shaped by insights formed in the past and applied to the present.

The cognitive dimension—often disparaged and trivially overlooked—becomes particularly evident when analyzing the relationship between cognitive load and its future-oriented potential. In the most significant instances where the real world extends into environments defined by shared nuances, available resources often fail to track the effects of social inferences; this compels individuals to adopt simplification strategies that enable them to navigate complex and deceptive settings. Through processes of systemic integration, technological devices address this limitation by constraining its scope, reducing the number of alternatives to be considered, and thereby

facilitating selection processes. Their positive impact allows individuals to operate more effectively within information ecosystems characterized by exponential complexity.

Reducing cognitive load is a double-edged sword. The concept of "cognitive offloading" (Risko & Gilbert, 2016) refers to the process by which individuals delegate tasks—previously performed internally—to external tools. However, viewing this delegation process as an unequivocally positive factor would be a mistake; while it can enhance decision-making efficiency, it also risks fostering digital dependency and diminishing one's capacity for critical processing.

According to these authors, this process is facilitated by two fundamental factors:

- Access to digital tools fosters a greater tendency to rely on external systems, precisely because of the ease with which specific information can be found;
- Perceived cognitive load: because the activity is viewed as a task and deemed burdensome, it is more likely to be delegated elsewhere.

As highlighted by Chirayath, Premamalini & Joseph (2025), the increasing integration of artificial intelligence systems into daily practices will give rise to a strong tension between mental relief and cognitive overload, leading to a process of introspective erosion and forms of trust exponentially linked to computational suggestions.

The ambivalence of recommendation systems is evident in how they influence the formation of preferential access pathways. From a classical perspective, autonomy—a fundamental structure for managing everyday relational practices—is grounded in personal preferences arising from reflective thought (Dewey, 1961), through which individuals identify their desires, goals, and social values. In the technological context, however, a significant portion of meaningful choices is mediated by algorithms that manage—and organically select—the scope of perceived possibilities. Consequently, human preferences do not emerge solely from autonomous interaction between the individual and the environment; rather, they are the result of systemic, algorithmic filtering that shapes and manages the accessibility and relevance of content.

Through these silent yet effective contextual recommendations—filtered via predictive classifications—the algorithmic

system steers cognitive exploration toward specific content, reinforcing a deterministic process that favors explored areas over nebulous contexts.

In this context, the concept of the "attention economy" takes on significant prominence—particularly in environments characterized by a virtually limitless supply of content—where attention becomes a resource ill-suited to the rapidly evolving processes of relational dynamics, proving ill-equipped to handle such phenomena.

In terms of social dynamics, this entails a shift from traditional forms of cultural mediation to automated mediation based on repetitive data processing. Algorithmic search mechanisms are gaining ground over systems that are already well-established and familiar to society. This revolution affects not only how individuals access information but also acts as a compass, shaping the cognitive hierarchies through which they interpret the world.

3. Transformation of digital self-determination practices. Attention economy and predictive sociality.

Interpreting the implications of automated systems requires situating them within a broader process of cultural uprooting, in which both the devices themselves and the individuals who operate them have radically transformed the very concept of knowledge. While the initial phase of digitalization aimed to treat accessibility as a driver of meaningful human insight—thereby significantly lowering barriers to knowledge—the current stage of technological development is instead oriented toward creating environments for social accommodation and problem-solving, and toward the fragmentation and delegation of core functions.

If we consider how central training systems have become to the formation and organization of the content we rely on—as well as to the way we interact with the world around us and the inferential dynamics underpinning proper relationships with others—then we must gradually rethink our role as integral parts of the social context. Digital representations play a crucial role within the operational functions that serve as the starting point for selecting content preparatory to defining meaningful opportunities—opportunities that will ultimately be chosen and analyzed by humans.

The evolution of social inquiry systems has led to the recognition of new frameworks—such as cognitive offloading, a concept originating in cognitive psychology and distributed social sciences—that must necessarily engage with new linguistic models (Large Language Models, or LLMs) found in platforms like ChatGPT, Claude, and Gemini, thereby giving rise to a new phase of offloading. In this context, humans appear not merely as externalizers of knowledge but as creators of increasingly vast amounts of content directed toward artificial systems that rely on inferential processes.

In the Extended Mind theory (Clark & Chalmers, 1998), the concept of mentalizing externalized functions leads to the identification of a dependent variable rooted in the experiential nature of everyday human activities. This form of meaningful appropriation leads to a functional re-evaluation of LLMs, interpreting them as potential extensions of internal human phenomena. The process facilitated by these models enables a deep reading of natural linguistic mechanisms, generating responses that simulate complex conceptual inferences. Far from serving merely as information repositories, they act as interlocutors capable of playing an active role in the creation of knowledge artifacts.

Empirical studies have consistently shown that humans naturally tend to utilize whatever they deem appropriate in their external environment—often doing so even when the cognitive effort involved outweighs the resulting benefit. This principle follows rules of energy optimization—whether physical or mental—that align with theories of cognitive economics and their real-world implications. The human brain is predisposed to naturally minimize the expenditure of attentional and mnemonic resources through processes of strategic delegation.

LLMs amplify these characteristics through instant accessibility and the pursuit of answers that are concise, contextualized, and seemingly authoritative. Reliance on such systems is increasingly becoming a routine yet evolving practice, transforming the structures, resources, and information shared within the environment. Unlike the concept of "offloading"—where the individual generally retains interpretive control over information retrieved from new generative and training systems—there is a growing tendency for humans to passively accept the output of intelligent systems as valid knowledge. Human reliance on external sources has long shaped the formation of individual beliefs; however, while every social system is inevitably grounded in forms of

epistemic dependence, the advent of LLMs has introduced—and often mediated—the extent to which humans identify with algorithmic processes characterized by inferential opacity and limited interpretability.

Systems of "formative delegation" smooth out the complex configurations that emerge in intentional contexts—patterns shaped by lucid insights into reality that reveal otherwise unconventional characteristics and nuances. The kind of systematic review highly valued by Suazo Galdames (2026) much like the uncritical use of generative systems, particularly GenAI—is frequently associated with phenomena such as cognitive offloading, the automation of critical judgment, and a reduction in analytical effort. The inherently ambivalent nature of LLMs allows these systems to function as scaffolding tools that support our learning processes, while also serving as the driving force behind cognitive reflection and a guiding influence on self-regulation.

This same cognitive drift affects various functions essential to knowledge construction, impacting memory—specifically regarding the search for congruent and easily accessible traits. It exerts a significant influence on critical reasoning precisely because LLMs provide responses that are coherent and linguistically acceptable. Finally, the creative dimension concerns the production of knowledge that is original, unique, and—in a sense—tailored to non-replicable characteristics.

There is a significant, deep-seated link between predictive sociality and metacognitive systems—which integrate the ability to monitor, evaluate, and regulate one's own cognitive processes. Individuals who use the system as a tool for comparison manage to maintain high levels of autonomy, whereas those who fully delegate the analysis process exhibit greater signs of epistemic dependence.

Combining these procedural levels opens up new opportunities for personal growth—driven by the democratization of knowledge and supported by personalized feedback that facilitates adaptive learning. We must begin to develop new forms of literacy regarding intelligent systems and recommendation engines; this requires cultivating the skills needed to verify sources and recognize cognitive biases, while simultaneously fostering critical reflection and argumentative reasoning. Over time, the emergence of fluid domains—shaped by reflective self-determination and experiential approaches—has led to the recognition of new social paradigms, now optimized and closely linked to concepts of predictive practice and future-oriented vision.

4. Algorithmic persuasion and computational nudging. Redefining subjectivity in the digital context.

If algorithmic self-regulation and predictive personalization constitute the infrastructural conditions through which recommendation systems organize the user experience, then their ability to effectively influence the likelihood of choosing behaviors best suited to achieving specific goals relies on a new form of computational persuasion. This is a crucial aspect that cannot be overlooked; it compels us to understand the most appropriate path for recognizing the means to satisfy personal needs. The inextricable link connecting artificial intelligence structures within social mediation systems highlights the need to examine the underlying logic of the process by which one actively realizes one's potential. Adaptation is not merely a function of a digital feature, but rather a validation of how knowledge is formed within open contexts.

Targeted interventions and pervasive communication strategies operate on relatively homogeneous groups using standardized messaging. Today, new inference systems based on machine learning generate differentiated actions that are embedded within the web of relationships woven by individuals. This form of inferential action makes algorithmic persuasion particularly advanced, as it enables the constant optimization of content and the ways in which information is transformed and presented.

Derived from behavioral theories, the concept of algorithmic nudging manifests in everyday routines, influencing predictive processes through strategies designed to steer choices while preserving freedom of action and decision-making. Content placement on any given platform, display frequency, interaction metrics, and notification timing serve as the pillars for implementing persuasive architectures that are invisible yet highly effective.

Consequently, algorithmic nudging represents one of the most advanced manifestations of contemporary digital governance. Indeed, it does not operate through explicit or indirect regulatory constraints but rather functions by modulating social behavior probabilistically within automated frameworks. The underlying concept is not to compel individuals to adopt specific behaviors, but to make certain choices more

likely than others through the systemic management of the cognitive processes involved in those decisions. This functional approach occupies a space between freedom and control—between self-determination and external guidance—giving rise to new forms of power-based steering that are often difficult to identify and validate.

The approach outlined thus far gains further significance when considering the growing ability of algorithms to identify a substantial portion of users' cognitive and emotional vulnerabilities. By analyzing behaviors originating in the digital realm, predictive systems can detect recurring patterns regarding consumption habits, usage rhythms, preferences, and modes of social interaction. This leads to the creation of increasingly accurate profiles that combine predictive analysis with strategic interventions targeting the conditions that foster engagement. The technical and procedural value lies in the ability to transform user insights into the capacity to influence behavior.

The underlying and emerging concept points toward the identification of an autonomous nature—one that extends beyond the mere ability to address relational issues arising from interaction with the external environment, encompassing instead structures that operate through dynamics of positive interconnection while traversing specific areas and characteristics of hypermedia evolution. Functions structured in this way steer society toward a process of both internal and external co-evolution, operating through a virtually unbreakable bond that integrates media with their users. This adaptive assimilation leads to a reinterpretation of the so-called "nudge"—an avowed "gentle push" rooted in behavioral economics and the cognitive sciences.

The terminology introduced and theorized by scholars Thaler and Sunstein (2022) highlights the fundamental nature of this "gentle push"—specifically, any aspect of choice architecture that alters human behavior in a predictable way without imposing prohibitions. They emphasize that, as a straightforward mechanism, the "nudge" should not entail significant effort or act as a burdensome element within cognitive dynamics or the tools employed.

In discussing the concept of the "nudge," Kahneman (2020)—a psychologist and keen observer of social and technological interaction—introduces two modes of operation identified as System 1 and System 2. While these systems lack distinct physical structures or processes, they interact with one another, shaping our judgments, our

decisions, and—more broadly—how we interpret the world. He defines the two systems as follows:

System 1: Operates quickly and automatically, with little or no effort and no sense of voluntary control;

System 2: Directs attention toward demanding mental activities that require focus, such as complex calculations. System 2 operations are frequently associated with the subjective experience of action, choice, and concentration.

According to the scholar, the distinction and standardization of the two systems do not manifest as opposing or conflicting entities, but rather as elements existing in symbiosis. Indeed, the first system generates continuous impressions and inputs, while the second system—when active—approves or corrects them, relying on System 1 during daily routines. In fact, when we engage in meaningful active interaction and create systems that foster learning, System 2 guides us step-by-step toward accessing information; yet, with continued practice, what was once new becomes automatic, shifting to the control of System 1.

When examining the "nudges"—or gentle pushes—at work within the digital ecosystem more closely, one finds that a fundamental premise of digital nudging relies precisely on the interplay between these two systems. By their very nature, digital interfaces favor the use of "System 1"—the system that operates rapidly and intuitively—thereby positioning themselves to leverage the heuristics and errors (such as algorithmic biases) common in the technological landscape. A key characteristic of these digital "gentle pushes" is that they influence choices by altering their content, the information presented, or the way that information is displayed to individuals.

There is a natural distinction between traditional and digital "nudge" systems, based on their respective contexts of use and the goals they pursue. Traditional nudges originate and evolve within a framework of collective interest, targeting large-scale populations. Digital nudges, conversely—while sharing these same fundamental characteristics—operate in a vastly different environment; here, the focus shifts to the individual and the specific, creating forms of guidance tailored to the needs of the single user.

Thus, the innovative and constantly evolving nature of digital drivers emerges; understanding them and their ongoing transformation becomes

essential for facing future challenges and managing change for the well-being of society and the individuals who inhabit it

6. Conclusions

Analysis of algorithmic self-regulation has shown that predictive recommendation systems now constitute a pivotal element in the reconfiguration of the cognitive experience within the digital ecosystem. The growing capacity of algorithms to learn and train themselves based on human behavioral patterns—anticipating choices by aligning with prototypical cognitive trajectories—has rendered the human-machine bond increasingly inextricable.

The discussion sought to explore—and highlight—the "attention economy," a theoretical framework that offers key insights into the transformation of contemporary society. In an environment characterized by a vast array of information sources, the ability to capture and retain user attention has become a strategic resource and a fundamental mechanism for generating economic value. Consequently, recommendation systems perform an active role in selecting and prioritizing content, proving crucial in determining which information becomes accessible, relevant, and meaningful.

Amidst all this, determining which persuasive architectures to employ—and how to direct them—requires navigating a landscape of computational nudging tools, ranking systems, personalized notifications, and heuristic engagement logics. The future awaits; like a fairy tale coming to life, we should expect the best not only from the devices themselves but also from those who manage and use them.

References

Beer, D., (2018), *The social power of algorithims*, Routledge, New York, http://doi.org/10.4324/9781351200677;

Bruineberg, J. (2025), *Rethinking the cognitive foundation of the attention economy*, Philosophical Psychology, https://doi.org/10.1080/09515089.2025.2502428;

Campo, E., (2020), *La testa altrove. L'attenzione e la sua crisi nella società digitale*, Donzelli Editore, Roma;

Chirayath, G., Premamalini, K., Joseph, J. (2025), *Cognitive offloadingor cognitive overload? How AI alters the mental architecture of coping*, Frontiers in Psychology, https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2025.1699320;
Clark, A., & Chalmers, D., (1998), *The extended Mind*, Analysis, 58(1), 7 – 19.
Del Valle, J., I., Lara, F. (2023), *AI-power recommender system and the preservation of personal autonomy*, AI & Society, Springer nature Link, Volume (39), p. 2479-2491;
Dewey, J. (1961), *How We Think*, La Nuova Italia, Firenze;
Floridi, L., (2022), *Etica dell'Intelligenza Artificiale. Sviluppi, opportunità, sfide,* Raffaello Cortina Editore, Milano;
Kahneman, D., Nuova Ed., (2020), *Pensieri lenti e veloci,* Mondadori, Milano;
Krook, J., Blockx, J. (2023), *Recommender System, Autonomy and User Engagement*, ACM Conference Tas: Symposium on Trustworthy Autonomous System;
Loewenstein, G., Wojtowicz, Z., *The Economics of attention*, Journal of Economic Literature, http://doi.org/10.1257/jel.20241665;
Risko, E., F., Gilbert, S., J. (2016), *Cognitive Offloading*, ScinceDirect, Trend in Cognitive Science, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2016.07.002
Rouvroy, A., Berns, T. (2013), *Algorithmic govermentality and prospects of emancipation. Disparateness as a precondition for individuation throughrelationship?* CAIRN INFO, Hunanities & Social Sciences;
Schuster, N., Lazar, S. (2024), *Attention, moral skill, and algorithmic recommendation,* Philosophical Studies, 182 (1), PhilPapers, http://doi.org/10.1007/s11098-023-02083-6;
Sweller, J., (2020), *Cognitive load theory and educational technology*, Springer Nature Link;
Skulmowski, A., Man Xu, K., (2022), *Understanding cognitive load in digital and online learning: A new perspective of extraneous cognitive load*, Springer Nature Link;
Suazo Galdames, I., C., Velasquez, M., S., Saracostti, M., Chaple Gil, A., M. (2026), *Beteween cognitive offloadingand critical autonomy: a systematic review of the epistemic implication of Generative AI in higher education*, Frontiers in Education, http://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2026.1878665;
Thaler, R., H., Sunstein, C., R. (2022), *Nudge. La spinta gentile. La nuova strategia per migliorare le nostre decisioni su denaro, salute e felicità*, Editore Feltrinelli, Milano;
Van Dijck, j., Poell, T., De Waal, M. (2018), *The Platform Society. Public values in a connective World*, Oxford University Press;